		- mai # V
1	DENNIS A. BARLOW, CITY ATTORNEY	CITY ATTORNEY
2	State Bar No. 63849 CAROL A. HUMISTON, SR. ASST. CITY A	- 1 pm 4: 3/
3	State Bar No. 115592 275 East Olive Avenue	<b></b>
4	P. O. Box 6459 Burbank, CA 91510	
5	Tel: 818-238-5707 Fax: 818-238-5724	
6	Kristin A. Pelletier, (SBN 155378) E-mail: <u>kpelletier@bwslaw.com</u>	
7	Robert J. Tyson (SBN 187311)	
8	E-mail: rtyson@bwslaw.com BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP 444 South Flower Street, Suite 2400	
9	Los Angeles, CA 90071-2953 Tel: 213.236.0600 Fax: 213.236.2700	
10	Attorneys for Defendant	
11	City of Burbank	
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
13	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
14		
15	CHRISTOPHER LEE DUNN,	Case No. BC 417928
16	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT'S APPLICATION TO FILE EXHIBITS TO THE DECLARATION OF
17	v.	KRISTIN A. PELLETIER IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO COMPEL
18	BURBANK POLICE DEPARTMENT, CITY OF BURBANK, and DOES 1	DEPOSITION OF JANE DOE UNDER SEAL
19	Through 100, Inclusive,	Date: May 12, 2010
20	Defendants.	Time: 8:30 a.m. Dept.: 31
21		[Honorable Alan S. Rosenfield, Dept. 31]
22		Action Filed: July 28, 2009
23		Trial Date: August 27, 2010
24	DI FASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendant City of Dember 1/2/2011 and 1/2 and	
25	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendant City of Burbank ("the City") will, and hereby	
26	does, move this Court for an Order that Exhibits A through L to the Declaration of Kristin A	
27		Compel Deposition of Jane Doe be filed under seal.
28	///	
AMS &	LA #4836-2297-6517 v1	-1-

BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW Los Angeles

DEFENDANT'S APPLICATION TO FILE EXHIBITS TO THE DECLARATION OF KRISTIN A. PELLETIER IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO COMPEL DEPOSITION OF JANE DOE UNDER SEAL

The City is not required to comply with *California Rules of Court* 2.550-2.551 because *CRC* Rule 2.550 (a) (3) states

"These rules do not apply to discovery motions and records filed or lodged in connection with discovery motions or proceedings."

Nonetheless the City requests the filing of these documents under seal for the following reasons. These Exhibits concern and name the deponent who is a former police informant who has requested (in another matter) that her identity not be disclosed in court papers. The City is not legally required to comply with this request since it alone is allowed to determine whether the identity of an informant is revealed. However, the City has gratuitously complied with this request in the other matter and is willing to do so in this case for purposes of the instant motion.

Evidence Code § 1041(a) provides that "a public entity has a privilege to refuse to disclose the identity" of a confidential informant. Moreover, "[t]he Supreme Courts of both California and the United States long ago recognized that the government held a privilege against disclosing the identity of police informants." People v. Navarro, 138 Cal.App.4th 146, 163 (2006). In short, it is the City that decides whether the names of former confidential informants should be disclosed to the public.

Therefore, although the City is not required by law to keep the deponent's name confidential, it is willing to do so for purposes of the instant motion, and on that basis requests the Court issue an order sealing Exhibits A through L to the Pelletier Declaration.

Dated: April 4 2010

Burke, Williams & Sorensen, LLP Kristin A. Pelletier

Bv

Kristin A. Pelletier Attorneys for Defendant City of Burbank

LA #4836-2297-6517 v1

28

BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES

PROOF OF SERVICE